

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No. _____

10563 358

Examiner

/Peter A. Hruskoci/

Applicant(s)

GRAMME ET AL

Art Unit

1797

All Participants:

(1) /Peter A. Hruskoci/

(2) *Nils E. Pedersen*

Date of Interview: 19 June 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Prior Art Rejections as stated in the prior Office action dated 12/31/08

Claims discussed:

AN

Prior art documents discussed:

Prior Art of Record

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was agreed to amend the claims and cancel claims 17, 19, 23, 26, 28, and 32, as shown in the Examiner's Amendment, to patentably distinguish over the prior art of record, in view of the test results disclosed on pages 3 and 4 of the instant specification.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Peter A. Hruskoci/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)